Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/682,168	MOORE, MARSHALL R.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen J. Castellano	3727
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 17 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feeth of the form of the control of the	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	•	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		
See Sommanon Onto		1000
		A   A A T A !!!!

Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3727 : d-

**Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)** 

Application No. 009/682,168

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Adding the word "impermeable" further complicates the issues for appeal since this limitation never appeared i the claims.

Continuation of 10. Other: IDS was filed by third party after application was abandoned, third party submission is not in compliance with MPEP 610..